

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 1 and 15 through 20 are pending, with Claims 1, 19, and 20 being independent.

Claims 1, 19, and 20 have been amended to recite that the controls means or step can display the first image and the second image with respective sizes different from each other.

Applicant respectfully wishes to point out that this expression does not appear *in haec verba* in the specification. However, Applicant respectfully believes the same to be supported in view of the specification which states, for example, that “a reduction ratio for the both images and the trimming quantity may be changed” (e.g., page 22, lines 22-23). See also page 21, lines 19-20 which refer to exclusive resolution converters. Favorable consideration is earnestly solicited.

Claims 1, 15 through 17, 19, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 over U.S. Patent No. 6,791,624 B1 (Suga). Claim 18 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Suga in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,492,982 B1 (Matsuzaki, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 19, and 20 variously recite, inter alia, that the controls means (Claim 1) or step (Claims 19 and 20) can display the first image and the second image with respective sizes different from each other.

However, Applicant respectfully submits that neither Suga nor Matsuzaki, et al., even in the proposed combinations, assuming, arguendo, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 1, 19, and 20. It is further respectfully submitted that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at such a claimed feature.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed

above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim is respectfully requested.

Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant
Daniel S. Glueck
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200
DSG/cmg

DC_MAIN 210064v1